

## This study is based on the DRC data of DISE (District Report Card 2016-17)

It contains District wise data on education and school infrastructure indicators in India.

301 Districts | 9 States



## States under study



| Top States (Literacy $>$ 8 80\%) |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| Delhi | $86.34 \%$ |
| Kerala | $94.00 \%$ |
| Maharashtra | $82.91 \%$ |
| Tamil Nadu | $80.33 \%$ |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Bottom States (Literacy <71\%) |  |
|  |  |
| Bihar | $63.82 \%$ |
| Jharkhand | $67.63 \%$ |
| Madhya Pradesh | $70.63 \%$ |
| Uttar Pradesh | $67.63 \%$ |
| Rajasthan | $67.06 \%$ |

## Gross Enrolment Ratio

Number of students enrolled in a given level of education, regardless of age, expressed as a percentage of the official school-age population corresponding to the same level of education.

## - Definition by UNESCO

Primary GER : GER for primary education (1st to 5th grade) Age group : 6 to 10 years
Upper GER : GER for upper primary education (6th to 8th) Age group : 11 to 13 years

## Primary GER by states



## Primary_GER distribution



## Primary GER
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## Outliers

8 Outliers using Winsorization
(>99 percentile and <1 percentile)


## Upper primary GER by states



Avg. UPPER_GER =

## UPPER Primary GER distribution



## Upper Primary GER



## Outliers

8 Outliers using Winsorization
(>99 percentile and <1 percentile)

Delhi


New Delhi, Coimbatore (T.N.), Perambalur (T.N.), Moradabad (U.P.),Muzaffarnagar (U.P.) are common outliers in both Primary and Upper Primary GER data.

# How many primary students make it to the upper primary education? 

Dropout ratio $=[($ Primary GER $)-($ Upper Primary GER $)] /($ Primary GER $)$

## Drop out ratio



## Dropout rates
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## Mid day meal
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## Availability of Electricity
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## Water availability




Clustered Using K-means clustering


Teachers per school


## Where the hotspots are?

A hotspot is a cluster of polygons with significantly high or low values.

Hotspot Detection using Hotspot
Analysis (Gi * statistic)

Two kinds of hotspots :
One with High GER Value
One with low GER Value

The Getis-Ord local statistic is given as:
$-$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i}^{*}=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{i, j} x_{j}-\bar{X} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{i, j}}{S \sqrt{\left[n \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{i, j}^{2}-\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{i, j}\right)^{2}\right]}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{j}$ is the attribute value for feature $j, w_{i, j}$ is the spatial weight between feature $i$ and $j, n$ is equal to the total number of features and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\bar{X}}=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}}{n=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} x^{2}}{n}}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $G_{i}^{*}$ statistic is a $z$-score so no further calculations are required.

## Hotspots for Primary GER

More Red means high Upper GER

More Blue means low Upper GER


## Hotspots for Upper Primary GER

More Red means high Upper GER

More Blue means low Upper GER


## Hotspots for mid day meal
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## Hotspots for Electricity availability



Gi_Bin
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## The overlap between hotspots




Electricity hotspots


Upper Primary GER hotspots

## Some Regression Analysis

## Dependent variable -> Total Enrolment

Independent variables -> Schools with electricity facility, Schools with water facility, Schools with Mid day meal facility, Schools with Boys toilets, Schools with Girls toilet, Schools with Playgrounds

For top states :

| Coeff | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Electricity | 201.11 |
| Water | 1446.06 |
| Boys toilet | -1975.22 |
| Girls toilet | 411.13 |
| Playgrounds | 65.99 |

For bottom states :

| Coeff | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Electricity | 76.03 |
| Water | 427.56 |
| Boys toilet | -116.40 |
| Girls toilet | -151.78 |
| Playgrounds | -107.94 |

## t-tests

## Top states

T-value for Electricity (1.68) > t-critical at p-value 0.05 (1.66)

T-value for water (10.81) > t-critical at p-value 0.05 (1.66)

Similar with Girls and Boys toilet

For playground t -value $<\mathrm{t}$-critical

## Bottom States

T-value for electricity (1.46) < t-critical at p-value 0.05

For water t-value > t-critical

For boys toilet t<t-critical
For girls toilet, t -value $>\mathrm{t}$-critical

For playgrounds, t -value <t-critical

## The effect of different facilities have different effect on the enrolment in schools

Top States

With More electrified schools, enrolments
increases, same with water facility and girls toilets.

Boys toilets surprisingly are negatively correlated.

However, the presence of playground in the school doesn't affect the decision to enrol in a school

## Bottom States

Availability of water in schools increases enrolment.

Electricity and boys toilets have no effect.
Girls toilets surprisingly are negatively correlated.

Playgrounds again have no effect on enrolment.

## But Midday is only available in Govt. schools !!

For top states:
Midday meal $=44.81$
T-value (0.40) < t-critical

For bottom States :
Midday meal $=82.53$

T-value (1.40) < t-critical

No effect of mid day meal on Govt School Enrolments

## How does existing literate people affects Dropouts?

## Female Literacy vs Dropout rate


dropout rate $=-0.867476$ *FEMALE_LIT + 72.0391

| Coefficients |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Term | Value | $\underline{\text { StdErr }}$ | $\underline{t}$-value | $\underline{p}$-value |
| FEMALE_LIT | -0.867476 | 0.0672432 | -12.9006 | $<0.0001$ |
| intercept | 72.0391 | 4.25516 | 16.9298 | $<0.0001$ |

```
dropout rate = -1.33097*MALE_LIT + 126.371
```



Coefficients

| Term | $\underline{\text { Value }}$ | $\underline{\text { StdErr }}$ | $\underline{\text { t-value }}$ | $\underline{p}$ p-value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MALE_LIT | -1.33097 | 0.0995307 | -13.3724 | $<0.0001$ |
| intercept | 126.371 | 8.12714 | 15.5493 | $<0.0001$ |

## Top states vs Bottom States

Female Literacy vs Dropout rate (Top)


Female Literacy vs Dropout rate (Bottom)


## Educated parents, Educated children

Make 1\% males of the district
literate, and the dropout will
significantly get reduced by $1.33 \%$

Make 1\% of females of the district literate, and the dropout will significantly get reduced by $0.87 \%$.

However, in Top states, this effect of female literacy on dropout rates is lower than in the bottom states. Same pattern with male literacy.

## Conclusions

- The difference between top states and bottom states in terms of facilities in schools is clearly visible and spatial differences can be observed whether in the availability of water or electricity.
- Availability of water increases the enrolment of students, so every state should ensure that their schools should have water facility.
- Electricity is also an important factor behind enrolments, so all the schools should be electrified.
- Contrary to belief, midday meal does not have any effect on the enrolments.
- Increasing Enrolments can lead to better literacy rate which further leads to less dropouts hence this reinforcement effect can help achieving the goal of full literacy.


## Thank you.
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